## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

AMY GWEN DENERO,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Case No. 3:13-cv-0073
J-MACKS PROPERTIES, LLC, and	)	
PALM HORIZONS MANAGEMENT, INC.	j	
d/b/a CATERED TO VACATION HOMES,	)	
Defendants.		

## **ORDER**

**BEFORE THE COURT** are the Magistrate Judge's: (1) July 1, 2016 Report and Recommendation containing factual findings concerning representations made to the District Court by Kyle R. Waldner, Esq. ("Attorney Waldner"), during a June 10, 2015 hearing in this case (ECF No. 444); and (2) June 30, 2021 Report and Recommendation that no sanctions be imposed on Attorney Waldner (ECF No. 451). No objections have been filed in connection with those Reports and Recommendations.

"Within 14 days after being served with a copy of the recommended disposition, a party may serve and file specific written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); see 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court."). When no objection to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation is made the district court reviews the report and recommendation for plain error. See Henderson v. Carlson, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987) ("While ... [28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C)] may not require, in the absence of objections, the district court to review the magistrate's report before accepting it, we believe that the better practice is for the district judge to afford some level of review to dispositive legal issues raised by the report."); Equal Emp. Opportunity Comm'n v. City of Long Branch, 866 F.3d 93, 100 (3d Cir. 2017) ("If a party fails to object timely to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation, we generally review the district court's order for plain error.").

Denero v. J-Macks Properties, LLC

Case No. 3:13-cv-0073

Order

Page 2 of 2

Here, the parties did not file any objections to the Magistrate Judge's July 1, 2016 and

June 30, 2021 Reports and Recommendations within 14 days after their service.

Accordingly, the Court reviews them for plain error. Having reviewed the record and the July

1, 2016 and June 30, 2021 Reports and Recommendations for plain error, the Court finds

that the Magistrate Judge addressed the dispositive legal issues in a manner consistent with

applicable law. The Court finds no error in the July 1, 2016 and June 30, 2021 Reports and

Recommendations. The premises considered, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that the July 1, 2016 and June 30, 2021 Reports and Recommendations

(ECF Nos. 444 and 451) are **ADOPTED**. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.

**Date:** March 15, 2023 /s/ Robert A. Molloy

ROBERT A. MOLLOY

**Chief Judge**